Attorney's Docket No.:	81862.P116	<u>PATENT</u>
------------------------	------------	---------------

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I/We hereby declare that:

My/Our residence(s), post office address(es) and citizenship are as stated below, next to my/our name(s).

М

first, and joint inventor (r (if only one name is listed below below) of the subject matter whic tled		
SCHEME FOR MAINTA	INING SYNCHRONIZATIO	ON IN AN INHERENTLY ASYNCHRO	ONOUS S	YSTE
the specification of whic	h			
was file	United States Application	n Number as plication Number	<u> </u>	
		(if applicable)	<u> </u>	
specification, including to a cknowledge the duty of defined in Title 37, Code I hereby claim foreign plany foreign application (spelow any foreign application application).	he claim(s), as amended to disclose all information of Federal Regulations, riority benefits under Titles) for patent or inventor's eation for patent or invention	nd the contents of the above-ider I by any amendment referred to a n known to me to be material to p Section 1.56. e 35, United States Code, Section certificate listed below and have tor's certificate having a filing date.	bove. atentabil 119(a)- also idei	(d), of
the application on which - Prior Foreign Application			Priori <u>Claim</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	· (Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

Rev. 03/31/97 (D2)

I hereby claim the benefit unde provisional application(s) listed		ode, Section 119(e) of any United States
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
application(s) listed below and, application is not disclosed in the paragraph of Title 35, United St information known to me to be	insofar as the subject mat ne prior United States app tates Code, Section 112, I material to patentability as n became available betwe	lication in the manner provided by the first acknowledge the duty to disclose all defined in Title 37, Code of Federal en the filing date of the prior application
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. N Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Bla Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 3 Reg. No. 37,813; James Y. Go, Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Er Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,13 Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Re Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCorr Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,1 Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, and Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAY 7th Floor, Los Angeles, Califorr	o. 39,602; Bradley J. Berekely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831 39,637; Michael Anthony I. Reg. No. P-40,621; Tarelic Ho, Reg. No. 39,711; G9; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagi g. No. 39,018; James C. Smack Sobrino, Reg. No. 329; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. Reg. No. 33,609; and No. No. P-40,992; and Judith YLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, winia 90025, telephone (408)	2; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Eznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, & N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; David R. George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; No. 36,172; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; n, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 36,691; n, Reg. No. 31,195; Charles E. Shemwell, 1,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; g. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, rman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; my patent agents, th offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 19,720-8300, with full power of substitution and all business in the Patent and Trademark Office
(Nai	me of Attorney or Agent) Boulevard 7th Floor, Los A	, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & Angeles, California 90025 and direct 408) 720-8300.
statements made on informatio	n and belief are believed	wn knowledge are true and that all to be true; and further that these se statements and the like so made are

-2-

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First/Joint (h)ventor Onchuen D. Lau				
Inventor's Signature _	Valha la	Date	6/14/99	
Residence	Saratoga, CA (City, State)		, ,	
Post Office Address _	20763 Saint Joan Ct. Saratoga, CA 95070			
Full Name of Second/	Joint Inventor <u>Frank Chui</u>			
Inventor's Signature _	Finh ohn	Date	06-10-99	
Residence	Sunnyvale, CA (City, State)	Citizenship	U.S. (Country)	
Post Office Address _	734 Inverness Way Sunnyvale, CA 94087			
Full Name of Third/Jo	int Inventor <u>Gene Chui</u>			
Inventor's Signature _	Jan K. Co	Date	6-10-99	
Residence	Campbell, CA (City, State)	Citizenship	U.S. (Country)	
Post Office Address _	4868 Westmont Avenue Campbell, CA 95008			
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor <u>Gary Kipnis</u>				
Inventor's Signature _	bay thing	Date	6/10/29	
Residence	Sunnyvale, CA (City, State)	Citizenship	U.S. (Country)	
Post Office Address _	651 Dunholme Way			

Full Name of Fifth/Jo	int Ínventor <u>)Gurmohan</u>	Samrao	0,0	
Inventor's Signature	Ju		Date _	6/10/99
Residence	San Jose, CA (City, State)		Citizenship	U.S. (Country)
Post Office Address _	3282 Bruno Court San Jose, CA 95136			
Full Name of Sixth/Jo	oint Inventor <u>Neil King</u>			
Inventor's Signature _	New	ley	Date _	6/14/99
Residence			Citizenship	U.S.
Post Office Address	(City, State)			(Country)
Fusi Office Address _	Cupertino, CA 95014			





Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 <u>Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability</u>

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.